

SOLID FUTURE DYNAMIC FUND

SHARE CLASS P

Factsheet as at 28th February 2025

Month end NAV as at 25th February 2025

Calamatta Cuschieri



Investment Objective and Policy

The Fund aims to deliver a return over and above major global indices in Euro. To achieve the fund's investment objective, the Investment Manager shall invest in a flexibly managed and diversified portfolio of equities and ETFs, across a wide spectrum of industries and sectors. The Investment Manager may invest in these asset classes either directly or indirectly through UCITS Funds and/ or eligible non UCITS Funds. The Fund is actively managed.

Sustainability

The Fund is classified under Article 6 of the SFDR meaning that the investments underlying this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Key Facts

Asset Class	Growth
Fund Launch Date	25-Oct-2011
Share Class Launch Date	11-Mar-2014
Fund Base Currency	EUR
Share Class Currency	EUR
Fund Size (AUM)	44.9 EUR
Fund Type	UCITS
ISIN	MT7000004925
Bloomberg Ticker	SFUDYNP MV
Distribution Type	Accumulating
Minimum Initial Investment	2,500 EUR
Month end NAV	263.99 EUR

Charges

Total Ongoing Charges	3.49%
Entry Charge	Nil
Exit Charge	Y ₁ Nil
	Y ₂ Nil
	Y ₃ Nil
	After Nil

Currency fluctuations may increase/decrease costs.

Risk and Reward Profile

This section should be read in conjunction with the KIID



Potentially lower reward

Potentially higher reward

Asset Allocation *

Equities	88.6
ETF	6.0
Cash	5.5

Currency Allocation *

EUR	18.1
USD	80.8
GBP	1.1

* Without adopting a look-through approach

Top 10 Holdings

Uber Technologies Inc	5.2
Adyen NV	3.2
iShares S&P 500 Industrials	3.1
Airbnb Inc	3.1
PayPal Holdings Inc	3.0
Alphabet Inc	2.9
Amazon.com Inc	2.9
Xtrackers MSCI Japan	2.8
Lam Research Corp	2.8
Apple Inc	2.7

% of Top 10 Holdings 31.7

Country Allocation **

		Benchmark Deviation	
North America	79.6		12.6
Europe ex UK	10.2		-1.9
Emerging/Frontier Markets ex China	3.4		-3.6
Japan	3.0		-2.0
China	2.7		-1.0
UK	1.1		-2.3


Sector Allocation **

		Benchmark Deviation	
Technology	26.1		2.4
Financials	17.6		-0.3
Consumer Discretionary	14.9		5.0
Industrials	10.3		0.5
Health Care	8.5		-1.9
Communications	7.3		-1.9
Consumer Staples	6.5		-0.1
Energy	2.1		-1.8
Basic Materials	1.2		-2.8
Real Estate	0.1		-2.0
Utilities	0.0		-2.6
Other	5.4		5.4

** Including exposure to CIS, adopting a look-through approach. 'Benchmark Deviation' refers to overweight/underweight exposure vs Benchmark

Historical Performance to Date

Past performance does not predict future returns



Performance History ^{1,2}

	Cum.	Ann.
YTD	2.64	
1-month	-0.37	
3-month	0.82	
6-month	11.01	
9-month	8.79	
1-year	12.13	12.13
3-year	22.13	6.86
5-year	38.32	6.70
2024		15.22
2023		11.67
2022		-15.45
2021		23.26
2020		-2.37
2019		27.86
2018		-16.15
2017		8.93

¹ Returns quoted net of TER. Entry and exit charges may reduce returns for investors.

² The Annualised rate is an indication of the average growth of the Fund over one year. The value of the investment and the income yield derived from the investment, if any, may go down as well as up and past performance is not necessarily indicative of future performance, nor a reliable guide to future performance. Currency fluctuations may affect the value of investments and any derived income.

Introduction

The last week of February turned out to develop as a crisis of American unpredictability as the decision-making process and subsequent readjustments from the new Trump administration have conquered in rattling financial markets. While the protectionist agenda so far enforced amounts to a considerably higher estimated impact compared to measures taken during the first Trump mandate, markets have really been taken by surprise by such decisive action, with the big R word (read "recession") resurfacing again in the US. Against basic economic theory, the current US leadership actions have not been welcomed by markets, leaving in the dust the American exceptionalism theme which dominated just some months ago.

Meanwhile, market participants have rediscovered Europe and China as viable alternatives, which was unthinkable just a year ago. Geopolitical factors stemming from the need to step up its military defence against a potential Russian aggression has revitalized the Eurozone as a relevant economic bloc, active in finding its own footing in the very complicated landscape nowadays. A reassessment of the need to collaborate with the corporate sector in propping up domestic economic growth has been the main act in China as the central leadership signalled a warming up particularly towards the local technology sector. To paraphrase a political adage, it takes years for some major economic themes to play out in financial markets, but sometimes just weeks for market consensus to shift.

From the monetary front, while the FOMC had no meeting scheduled during the month, markets now expect for the FED to wait until next quarter before cutting rates again being faced with the threat of rising inflation. Inflation forecasts have raised on concerns that the new administration's policies, particularly on tariffs could reignite price pressures in the economy. Recently FED officials themselves, including Chair Powell, have admitted they are not in a hurry to lower rates further. In Europe, as the ECB did not hold a monetary policy meeting during the month, expectations remained constant as regards a further 25basis-point interest rate cut next month as the disinflation process remains on track.

In equity markets the last week of February has literally flipped the virtual market consensus as regards the continuation of American domination well into 2025. Since Christmas 2024, US markets underperformed their European and Chinese peers, in a total contradiction to what we have witness since the Great Financial Crisis, moves which recaps the beginning of 2023. That time around such development proved to be a fluke, as the then nascent AI tailwind quickly reverted things back to normal. This time however the challenge of US dominance in market performance might prove to be more sustainable. This time, the political change in the US, which seem to lead to unorthodox economic policies, combined with valid game-changing factors ripe to benefit both European and Chinese markets, can make such reality more sustainable. Finally, it looks like the valuation gap between the US and the rest has found a reason to shrink again after many years of failed attempts. The gap does not necessarily need to close, but the low base from which it all starts gives it the potential of quite some time to balance. Even from a theoretical standpoint it would make sense for such a process to take place now as the fundamental advantage of US markets, namely an outsized weighting in technology and growth stocks, does not quite do the trick in a higher interest rate environment, with higher cost of opportunity and requiring higher equity returns. Value sectors are at an advantage on a comparative basis requiring less margin of safety and being more competitive with bond pay-outs through dividend pay-outs. Of course, all this might turn on its head should the Trump doctrine perform a U-turn, which seems unthinkable right now. But also, an alternative to US markets seemed unthinkable just a quarter ago. So, it's definitely a wait and see process and do not sell the noise strategy.

Market Environment and Performance

In February, the economic picture was brightening after stagnation. The monthly PMI reading remained steady at 50.2, unchanged from the previous month and indicating a marginal economic growth in the bloc. Spain led the expansion with a strong and accelerated rise in business activity, while Ireland also saw faster growth, and Italy returned to expansion for the first time in four months. Germany experienced only modest growth, and France's activity continued to decline. Headline inflation eased to 2.4% as price growth slowed for services and energy, while core inflation fell to 2.6%, the lowest level since January 2022.

The US economy exhibited signs of emerging growth concerns, driven by potential tariff impacts and persistent inflationary pressures. The Composite PMI sharply declined to 50.4 from January level of 52.7, signalling near-stagnation in private sector activity. This marked the slowest expansion since September 2023, with a renewed contraction in services output. Business optimism reached a low point reflecting anxieties about federal spending cuts, tariffs, heightened price pressures and geopolitical uncertainties. The headline inflation posted a 2.8% reading in February, although slightly below market expectations. Core inflation also eased to 3.1%, rising by 0.2% month-over-month.

In February, global equity markets have put an end to the optimism it has embraced since the outcome of the US elections late last year, while at the same time asking serious questions about the American exceptionalism that was supposed to dominate financial markets this year. While the overall performance was only marginally negative, its sector-based segregation looked much more typical to a bear market, where consumer staples, energy and utilities heavily outperformed technology and consumer discretionary. Following on the debacle of the AI rally, the Magnificent 7 were the burden that pushed US markets toward a huge underperformance year-to-date compared to European and Chinese markets. The S&P 500 index lost 1.21% as even another set of impressive earnings from Nvidia failed to impress investors. European markets continued their strong run as institutional investors are changing their perennially negative sentiment toward the region even for a while. The EuroStoxx50 gained 3.34% while the DAX gained 3.77%.

Fund Performance

In the month of February, the Solid Future Dynamic Fund registered a 0.37 per cent loss, outperforming its benchmark and extending its year-to-date outperformance. The Fund's allocation has been reviewed and rebalanced, as the Manager aligned it to the overriding market sentiment. New conviction names Alibaba Holdings and Abbott Laboratories have been added, while exposures to PayPal Holdings, Alphabet, Lam Research and Booking Holdings have been increased given expectations of improved return potential over the short to medium term. While Walt Disney and Thermo Fisher Scientific holdings have been liquidated based on decreased upside expectations and negative momentum. As well, exposures to MercadoLibre Inc, Adobe Inc, IBM, JPMorgan Chase & Co, and Netflix have been trimmed for risk management purposes. Cash levels have marginally increased.

Market and Investment Outlook

Going forward, the Manager believes that the conflicting measures taken by the Trump administration within its first weeks as regards trade policies have created material uncertainty on expectations of global economic and inflationary pressures. What initially was believed to be either a straightforward protectionist policy or a negotiating tactic, looks increasingly just an improvised trade policy without purpose with significant odds of generating a US recession. A collateral damage of such behaviour is monetary policy, as interest rates future pathway have by now become anyone's guess. While European and Chinese political factors have moved towards a more active support for their domestic economies and show no signs of appeasement in relation to the US trade aggressiveness, expectations for the global macroeconomic landscape as drafted after the US election should undergo a revision.

Given the above, the Manager remain conservative as regards market return expectations, combining a diversified allocation with heightened exposure to quality companies and business models benefitting from secular growth trends agnostic to specific macroeconomic developments. The Manager's strategy remains intact in terms of acting more opportunistically in deploying capital in specific sectors where the overriding sentiment warrants a more attractive upside potential over the shorter timeframe, and using cash levels as dry powder to be used during episodes of market overshooting.

Disclaimer

This document has been prepared for information purposes and should not be interpreted as investment advice nor to constitute an offer or an invitation by Calamatta Cuschieri Investment Management Limited ("CCIM") to any person to buy or sell units in the UCITS fund. Please refer to the Prospectus of the UCITS and any Offering Supplement thereto and to the Key Investor Information Document before making any final investment decisions which may be obtained from www.ccfunds.com.mt or from the below address. Investors are advised that an investment in the fund relates to the acquisition of units in the UCITS fund, and not in any of the underlying assets owned by the UCITS. CC Funds SICAV p.l.c. is licensed as a Collective Investment Scheme by the Malta Financial Services Authority under the Investment Services Act and qualifies as a 'Maltese' UCITS. CCIM is licensed to conduct Investment Services in Malta by the Malta Financial Services Authority under the Investment Services Act.

This document may not be reproduced either in whole, or in part, without the written permission of CCIM. CCIM does not accept liability for any actions, proceedings, costs, demands, expenses, loss or damage arising from the use of all or part of this document.

Address: Calamatta Cuschieri Investment Management Limited, Ewropa Business Centre, Triq Dun Karm, Birkirkara BKR 9034.